Interview Summary

Application No.

10/759,619

PACEY, LARRY J.

Examiner

Adetokunbo O. Torimiro

3714

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Adetokunbo O. Torimiro</u> .	(3)Wayne L. Tang.	
(2) <u>John Hotaling</u> .	(3) Wayne L. Tang. Moll	:
Date of Interview: <u>18 October 2007</u> .		:
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 29</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Ainsworth, Hughs-Bair	<u>d</u> .	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g	g)⊠ was not reached. h) N	/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20071018

The interview was based on the proposed claim amendments to claims 1 and 29. The proposed claim amendments, and the suggestions provided in the interview appears to overcome the current outstanding rejections. Further, the Examiner will additionally consider the prior art relied upon in the current rejection to ensure that the proposed amendments overcome the rejections so stated. In conclusion, the Examiner will fully and fairly consider the applicant's timely submitted and officially written response to the office.

JOHNAR HOTALING, II PRIMARY EXAMINER